

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandres, Vinnita 22313-1450

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 10/606,424	06/26/2003	Florence Bordon-Pallier	2541US CNT	6312
	7590 05/06/2005		EXAM	
Aventis Phar	maceuticals Inc.		BERCH, MARK L	
Patent Depart Route #202-2	ment		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 680	0 .		1624	
Bridgewater,	NJ 08807-0800		DATE MAILED: 05/06/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
*	10/606.424	BORDON-PALLIER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark L. Berch	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication		ith the correspondence address	
eriod for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILINED DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  SHORT STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  If the partic of the replained where the provisions of 37 G  If the partic of the replained above, the maximum statutory is  Fallure to reply within the set of restored period for order  Fallure to reply within the set of restored period from the safe of the communication of the communication of the set of the state of the set of the safe of	ON.  R 1,138(a). In no event, however, may a n. e reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO thanks or such as a personne A	reply be timely filed  try (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  RANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		- X -	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 and 9-15 is/	are withdrawn from considera	tion.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are allowed.	/		
6) Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call to the	correction is required if the drawir he Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	i asiasibu undan 35 II C C	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. 9 119(a)-(d) of (f).	
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority doct</li> </ul>	monte have been received		
Certified copies of the priority doct     Certified copies of the priority doct		Application No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doct	e priority documents have been	en received in this National Stage	
application from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Application/Control Number: 10/606,424

Art Unit: 1624

genus.

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim I, drawn to Treatment/Prevention of Fungus disorders of Formula I and Compositions therefore, classified in class 514, subclass 263.4.
- II. Claims 7-8, 16, drawn to Collection of individual species, classified in class 544, subclass 277.
- III. Claims 9:10, drawn to Synthesis of Formula 1 compounds, classified in class 544, subclass 277.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because The combination (Group I) can be practiced without sue of the subcombination, as Group I is drawn to the use of a very broad genus, but Group II is just a few species. Thus, one can do Group I without using a Group II species. The subcombination has separate utility such as intermediates for preparing the 9-alkylated anti-cancer agents. The same is true for Groups II and II. That is, Group III can be practiced without preparing any compounds of Group II, since Group III is drawn to a large

Application/Control Number: 10/606,424

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Newman on 4/18/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 7-8, 16. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-6, 9-15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,424

Art Unit: 1624

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 must recite the actual structures, along with the definitions for the variables employed.

## Specification

The abstract is objected to as too vague. Suggested is the definitions of Ry, Rx, Z, n and R1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark L. Berch whose telephone number is 571-272-0663. The examiner can normally be reached on M·F 7:15 · 3:45. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on (571)272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark L. Berch Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624